Global rating agency dedicated to providing value beyond the rating through independent and prospective credit opinions

Over 1,200 analysts in more than 50 offices worldwide, rating 14,000 entities and 290,000 securities

Rating Sectors
- Structured Finance
- Corporates
- Global Infrastructure & Project Finance
- Public Finance
- Insurance
- Financial Institutions
- Sovereigns
Role of a Rating Agency

- Provides independent opinions on the ability of a securities issuance to meet financial commitments, such as interest and principal payments
- Provides investors an assessment of relative risk
- Reviews information provided
  - Asset-level financials; Company Financials; Public & Private Information
- Analyzes original transaction
- Monitors transaction post-closing
Rating Categories

- Investment Grade Ratings
  - 'AAA' – 'BBB'

- Non-Investment Grade Ratings
  - 'BB' – 'D'

+ or – are Indications of Relative Differences
Challenges & Opportunities in Infrastructure

**Challenges:**
- Significant Need – aging plant, deferred investment; evolving demands; greater resilience
- Inefficient Delivery – not always outcome/performance based (cost/schedule/efficiency)
- Uncertain Ability and Willingness to Pay

**Opportunities:**
- New methods of procurement provide a way to expand capacity to deliver improved infrastructure
- Performance-based contracting provides the ability to have better outcomes (faster/cheaper)
- Lifecycle asset management focus provides for more reliable maintenance and a greater useful life
- Core infrastructure (water/transportation/power) has the demonstrated ability to support the investment (through user-fees or taxes)
- Multiplier effect of increased government and infrastructure investment
- Deep pool of US municipal and private placement investors
Global PPP Scorecard

- **Success:** Is mixed world-wide

- **Failure:** Often the case but usually due to unanticipated conditions, unrealistic objectives, unachievable benefits, unclear benchmarks

- **Planning:** Success usually due to a cautious approach, development of desired objectives, understanding of benefits and disciplined monitoring

- **Partnership:** Problems do occur, so long-term success a function of the nature of the arrangement; both parties ability and willingness to adjust to changing conditions and public expectations
UN-backed Principles for Responsible Investment

Hundreds of entities with trillions in assets under management have expressed interest

Enter the term ESG, which refers to Environmental, Social and Governance factors

Over US$20 trillion in ESG related investment assets under management globally*

Entities have been created to provide investors with an assessment of sustainable performance for real assets, including infrastructure

The vast majority of the ESG assets under management now receive an external assessment

These assessments factor into investment decisions and ultimately into their pricing

One component of these assessments is “Resilience” which assess the ability to handle adverse social and environmental events.

Resilience assessments usually link to scenarios created by the Task Force on Climate Related Financial Disclosures (physical, financial and climate risks)

Source: * Forbes
Fitch Measures Which ESG Risks Appear in Our Ratings

- Which specific ESG risks are potentially relevant for each issuer’s credit profile.
- Which ESG risks are relevant for different sectors, and even countries or regions.
- Which ESG risks have risen to a level where they are an active factor in the rating decision, on an issuer-by-issuer basis.
- Which ESG risks actually led to a rating change.
We Are NOT Measuring ESG Risk Itself
### How Are We Defining Relevance?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Relevance Score</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Highly relevant, a key rating driver that has a significant impact on the entity rating on an individual basis. Equivalent to ‘Higher’ relative importance on a credit Navigator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Relevant to rating, but not a key rating driver, but has an impact on the entity rating in combination with other factors. Equivalent to ‘Moderate’ importance within a credit Navigator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Minimally relevant to rating, either very low impact or actively managed in a way that results in no impact on the entity rating. Equivalent to ‘Lower’ Relative Importance within Navigator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Irrelevant to the entity rating, but relevant to the sector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Irrelevant to the entity rating and irrelevant to the sector</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
One of five environmental issues scored for relevance to the assigned credit rating

Higher relevance scores (4s and 5s) were assigned to 8 corporate entities (0.5% of issuers)

All of the impacted entities are categorized in the same sector- EMEA Regulated Networks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Environmental (E)</th>
<th>E Score</th>
<th>Sector-Specific Issues</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Overall E Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GHG Emissions &amp; Air Quality</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Regulatory risk - engine emission standards</td>
<td>Technology Leadership, Diversification, Business Stability, Financial Flexibility</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy &amp; Fuel Management</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Energy use in manufacturing and operations</td>
<td>Business Stability, Profitability</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water &amp; Wastewater Management</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Water usage and effluents in manufacturing process</td>
<td>Technology Leadership, Profitability</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waste &amp; Hazardous Materials Management</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Manufacturing waste, disposal and recycling; materials sourcing</td>
<td>Technology Leadership, Market Position &amp; Size, Profitability</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biodiversity Impacts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exposure to Environmental Impacts</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>PPE and inventory exposure to extreme weather events</td>
<td>Business Stability, Financial Flexibility</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Examples of Higher Relevance Scores for Water & Wastewater Management**

**Greensands UK Ltd** (5) - High relevance for the ratings as OpCo’s (Southern water) operational underperformance is expected to lead to performance-related penalties of c. GBP41m, all of which will reduce free cash flow during next price control (in nominal terms). Greensands’ parent is under investigation by the Environment Agency and Ofwat regarding the serviceability and quality of its outcome delivery incentives reporting.

**Kelda Finance (No.2) Limited** (+4) - High relevance for the ratings as OpCo’s (Yorkshire water) middle-ranking operational performance is expected to add c. GBP105m performance-related rewards to free cash flow during next price control (in nominal terms).

**Kemble Water Finance Limited** (5) - High relevance for the ratings as OpCo’s (Thames water) operational underperformance is expected to lead to an overspend of GBP394m on capex and opex in FY16-FY20 and performance-related penalties of c. GBP230m, of which GBP188m will reduce free cash flow during next price control (in nominal terms). Kemble’s parent was fined for underperformance on leakage.
Key Rating Drivers:

- Revenue Defensibility (demand, pricing, volatility, ability to respond)
- Operating Risks (cost predictability/volatility, life cycle/capital renewal risks, key resource cost, ability to manage growth)
- Financial Profile (financial flexibility to sustain stress, use metrics such as operating margin, liquidity profile, overall leverage)
- Legal & Regulatory (events leading to enforcement, corrective action plan, timeline for completion)
- Debt structure (fixed/variable rate debt, swaps, additional debt/rate covenants)
- Management and governance (practices and policies on rate/cost planning/management, environmental compliance, pro-active investment, etc.)
Fitch Rating Criteria in Project Finance
Aging infrastructure is a challenge but there also are opportunities to bridge the gap.

The options include on and off-balance sheet finance with a deep pool of investors.

Investors increasingly care about responsible and sustainable investing and are focused on climate resilience.

Fitch’s ESG approach is designed to highlight those risks.

As the tools to assess these risks and the nature and consistency of reporting improve, we will increasingly provide greater distinctions based on these risks.
Fitch Ratings’ credit ratings rely on factual information received from issuers and other sources. Fitch Ratings cannot ensure that all such information will be accurate and complete. Further, ratings are inherently forward-looking, embody assumptions and predictions that by their nature cannot be verified as facts, and can be affected by future events or conditions that were not anticipated at the time a rating was issued or affirmed.

The information in this presentation is provided “as is” without any representation or warranty. A Fitch Ratings credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a security and does not address the risk of loss due to risks other than credit risk, unless such risk is specifically mentioned. A Fitch Ratings report is not a substitute for information provided to investors by the issuer and its agents in connection with a sale of securities. Ratings may be changed or withdrawn at any time for any reason in the sole discretion of Fitch Ratings. The agency does not provide investment advice of any sort. Ratings are not a recommendation to buy, sell, or hold any security.

ALL FITCH CREDIT RATINGS ARE SUBJECT TO CERTAIN LIMITATIONS AND DISCLAIMERS. PLEASE READ THESE LIMITATIONS AND DISCLAIMERS AND THE TERMS OF USE OF SUCH RATINGS AT WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM.